Resting-state functional connectivity and inflexibility of daily emotions in major depression
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1. Introduction

Major Depressive Disorder (MDD) has significant adverse personal and public health consequences; it is the leading contributor to the global burden of disease (Ferrari et al., 2013) and a primary cause of years of life lived with disability (Lopez et al., 2006). In identifying factors that might perpetuate depressive episodes, researchers have focused on the high levels of negative affect reported by depressed individuals. In this context, individuals with MDD have been characterized as being “stuck” in negative emotional cycles, perseverating on feelings and thoughts of sadness and engaging in avoidance behaviors that both perpetuate negative affect and adversely impact their daily functioning (Koval et al., 2012; Trew, 2011). Recently, investigators have used the Experience Sampling Method (ESM) to examine the nature of the day-to-day emotional difficulties experienced by depressed individuals (Csikszentmihalyi and Larson, 1987; Höhn et al., 2013; Wichers et al., 2010). Most laboratory assessments rely on reported symptoms, which can introduce recall bias. Recall biases, such as under- or over-reporting of symptoms, could contribute to ineffective treatment plans. Particularly in depression, individuals commonly experience remission and relapse, which can often be difficult to report. Under-reporting can lead to under- or over-reporting of symptoms, could contribute to ineffective treatment plans. Particularly in depression, individuals commonly experience remission and relapse, which can often be difficult to report. Under-reporting can lead to under- or over-reporting of symptoms, could contribute to ineffective treatment plans. Particularly in depression, individuals commonly experience remission and relapse, which can often be difficult to report.

Methods: MDD ($N = 33$) and control (CTL; $N = 31$) adults completed a resting-state scan, followed by a smartphone-based Experience Sampling Methodology (ESM) protocol surveying 10 positive and negative emotions 5 times per day for 21 days. We used multilevel modeling to assess moment-to-moment emotional inflexibility (i.e., strong temporal connections between emotions). We examined group differences in whole-brain FC analysis of bilateral sgACC, and then examined associations between emotional experiences and the extracted FC values within each group.

Results: As predicted, MDDs had inflexibility in sadness and avoidance ($p < .001$, FDR-corrected $p < .05$), indicating that these emotional experiences persist in depression. MDDs showed weaker FC between the right sgACC and pregenual/dorsal anterior cingulate (pg/dACC) than did CTLs (FWE-corrected, voxelwise $p = .01$). Importantly, sgACC–pg/dACC FC predicted sadness inflexibility in both MDDs ($p = .046$) and CTLs ($p = .033$), suggesting that sgACC FC is associated with day-to-day negative emotions.

Limitations: Other maladaptive behaviors likely also affect the flexibility of negative emotions. We cannot generalize our finding of a positive relation between sgACC FC and inflexibility of sadness to individuals with more chronic depression or who have recovered from depression.

Conclusions: Our preliminary findings suggest that connections between portions of the ACC contribute to the persistence of negative emotions and are important in identifying a brain mechanism that may underlie the maintenance of sadness in daily life.
experiences in individuals’ daily lives outside of the laboratory.

Researchers have used ESM to assess the temporal dynamics of emotion in depressed individuals, examining how emotions at one moment in time predict subsequent levels of emotion within the same day (Pe et al., 2015). For example, investigators have assessed the inflexibility of negative emotions by examining emotional inertia, or how an emotion at the current time point is predicted by the same emotion at the previous time point (i.e., the autocorrelation or persistence of a particular emotion) (Kuppens et al., 2010; Pe et al., 2015; Suls et al., 1998; Thompson et al., 2012). In contrast to examining inertia of a single emotion, other research focuses on connections among a wide range of emotions. Pe et al. (2015) took a “network density approach” to assess resistance to changing emotion in MDD, examining how a current emotion (e.g., sadness at time t) is predicted by all emotions (e.g., sadness, anxiety, hopefulness) at the previous time point (i.e., at time \( t - 1 \)). This approach yields a more comprehensive characterization of depression than does examining the persistence of a single emotion. A dense network of emotions, operationalized as emotions that are strongly associated over time, can reflect inflexibility or a resistance to emotional change. Using multilevel modeling of both positive and negative emotions, Pe et al. (2015) found that depressed individuals have a denser overall emotion network and, more specifically, a denser network of negative, but not positive, emotions than do their nondepressed counterparts. Thus, dense negative emotional networks may underlie the pervasive negative emotional state that characterizes MDD. In contrast, less dense emotional networks might allow context-based events or internal regulatory strategies (Kuppens et al., 2010) to ameliorate negative emotional states; indeed, investigators have posited that more flexible emotional networks are adaptive (Kashdan and Rottenberg, 2010; Kuppens et al., 2010; Pe et al., 2015).

Investigators have also begun to examine neural foundations of emotional functioning in MDD, which can elucidate mechanisms involved in the maintenance of negative affect in this disorder. In a recent Bayesian computational model of depression, Smith et al. (2018) describe a feedback loop in which strong expectations for negative emotional experiences (e.g., negative view of the self or pessimism) facilitate negative responses and behavioral changes that, in turn, increase the probability of experiencing negative emotions, thereby maintaining depressive episodes. This feedback-loop model implicates the anterior cingulate cortex (ACC), a region of the brain involved in cognitive control, the experience of negative affect, and the resolution of emotional conflict (Etkin et al., 2006; Shackman et al., 2011). Smith et al. posit that negative self-referential biases lead to aberrant patterns of connectivity of the subgenual ACC (sgACC), a structure within the ventral portion of the ACC that is associated with negative self-referential processing, integrating physiological responses to external stimuli, and generating phenomenological experiences (Cooney et al., 2010; Dedovic et al., 2013; Kross et al., 2009; Price and Drevets, 2012). This abnormal sgACC connectivity, in turn, may engage dorsal regions of the ACC to increase the individual’s attention to negative information and perpetuate avoidant behaviors, such as social withdrawal and rejection (Masten et al., 2011; Smith et al., 2018; Smith and Lane, 2015).

It is unlikely that one brain region in isolation underlies these maladaptive behaviors; instead, the persistence of depression likely involves aberrant functioning of different brain regions. The hypothesized involvement of the sgACC in the inflexibility of negative emotions in depression underscores the importance of examining the functional connectivity (FC) of this brain region with other regions in the context of understanding the temporal dynamics of daily emotional functioning in MDD. Researchers focusing on the sgACC in MDD have assessed both task-based and resting-state patterns of this brain structure. Although patterns of FC during task-based and resting-state assessments are correlated (Fox and Raichle, 2007), assessing intrinsic FC during rest (i.e., unconstrained by a task) allows investigators to examine stable patterns of neural connectivity among brain regions that are related to complex behaviors (Fox and Greicius, 2010). Indeed, using a resting-state fMRI paradigm, researchers have consistently documented differences between depressed and nondepressed individuals in sgACC FC (Davey et al., 2012; Greicius et al., 2007; Mulders et al., 2015); however, the directionality of the association between depressive symptoms and strength of connectivity between the sgACC and other canonical emotional processing regions of the brain has been less consistent (Wang et al., 2012). For instance, Shoeb et al. (2010) found greater connectivity between the sgACC and dorsal medial prefrontal cortex (PFC) in depressed than in nondepressed individuals. Importantly, aberrant FC between the sgACC and medial prefrontal regions has been found to be associated with self-generated sadness, negative self-referential processing, rumination, and impaired emotion regulation (Davey et al., 2012; Drevets et al., 2008; Hamilton et al., 2015, 2013). In contrast, Wu et al. (2016) found weaker FC of the sgACC with the posterior insula and middle and inferior temporal gyrus in depressed than in nondepressed individuals.

Although investigators have not examined the relation between intrinsic FC and daily functioning in currently depressed adults, they have assessed the association between resting-state FC and daily functioning in adults who had recovered from a depressive episode (Serraas et al., 2017) (see Forbes et al., 2010, 2009), for similar examples using task-based fMRI in depressed adolescents. Specifically, Serraas et al. (2017) found that greater fluctuations in ESM-assessed negative mood were associated with reduced FC between networks of brain regions involved in reward processing and attention, identified using graph theory.

The present study was designed to explore the relation between resting-state sgACC FC and the temporal dynamics of depression-related emotional functioning, assessed several times each day over three weeks using smartphone-based ESM, in currently depressed and never-depressed adults. Findings from this study may provide insight into biological factors that contribute to the persistence of depression as manifested in naturalistic settings. Given evidence of stronger associations among emotions in depressed than in nondepressed individuals (Pe et al., 2015), we hypothesized, first, that depressed individuals would exhibit stronger temporal connections in their overall emotional experience than would nondepressed participants, reflecting a greater emotional inflexibility, or resistance to change. Second, we hypothesized that MDD participants would have stronger temporal connections among negative emotions, reflecting the persistence of these emotions in depression. Finally, given the posited role of the sgACC in MDD (Hamilton et al., 2015, 2013), we predicted that sgACC FC would be associated with the emotions that exhibited stronger temporal connections in MDDs than in CTLs.

2. Method

2.1. Participants and procedures

Forty-one individuals with current MDD (\( n = 26 \) female) and 41 CTL (\( n = 23 \) female) individuals ages 18–35 years were recruited from the community to participate in this study. Trained interviewers administered the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV-TR (SCID) (First et al., 1996) to establish a diagnosis of MDD for the depressed participants and to ensure that the CTL participants did not meet diagnostic criteria for any current or past DSM-IV-TR Axis-I disorder. Potential participants were excluded if they had a history of psychosis, substance/alcohol abuse within the past six months, had impaired mental status, had history of traumatic brain injury, or were taking medication that influenced blood flow. Individuals with MDD were not excluded on the basis of comorbid anxiety (\( n = 14 \)) or use of psychotropic medications (\( n = 10 \)). All participants were scheduled for a subsequent neuroimaging scan session conducted within 2 weeks of the administration of the SCID. This study was approved by the Stanford University Institutional Review Board and all participants provided informed consent.
2.2. Measures

At the scan session, participants completed the Beck Depression Inventory-II (BDI-II) (Beck et al., 1996) and the Beck Anxiety Inventory (BAI) (Beck et al., 1988). The BDI-II is a 21-item self-report measure of the severity of depressive symptoms over the last two weeks; it is reliable and has high construct validity (Steer et al., 1997). The BAI is a reliable and valid 21-item self-report measure of the severity of anxious symptoms over the last two weeks (Beck et al., 1988). After completing these self-report measures, individuals underwent an MRI scan session to acquire structural and functional MRI data.

Following the MRI scan, participants were asked to complete a 21-day ESM protocol in which they were prompted with questions about their current emotions and behaviors on their smartphone several times a day. Nine (n = 4 MDD and n = 5 CTL) participants elected not to proceed with the ESM protocol, leaving 37 MDD and 36 CTL participants. Participants were offered monetary incentives for completing each day of prompts and received a bonus if they completed 90% of all prompts. Participants used the MetricWire application (MetricWire, Inc) on their phone to respond to 18 questions 5 times per day (9 a.m., 12 p.m., 3 p.m., 6 p.m., 9 p.m.), yielding 105 prompts total per participant. Ten questions were directly related to depression symptoms and asked about participants’ feelings and thoughts (e.g., “How sad do you feel?” “How nervous or anxious do you feel?” “How much are you avoiding people, places, or activities?”). These ten items assess features of depression that are directly relevant to emotional states; thus, we refer to these items collectively as ‘emotional experience’. Full text of all prompts is presented in the Appendix. Participants responded to each question on a 7-point Likert rating scale (0=“not at all,” 6=“very much”). Of the other eight questions given at each prompt, one was binary and asked about social context, one was associated with appetite and thus influenced by additional factors, such as time of day (e.g., timing of meals), and six asked about positive and negative life events that may have occurred since the last prompt; these items had a low frequency of responses. Because these items were not central to our research question about temporal dynamics of emotional experiences, they were excluded from further analysis.

2.3. fMRI data acquisition and preprocessing

MRI scans were conducted on a GE Discovery MR750 scanner (GE Medical Systems, Milwaukee, WI) equipped with a 32-channel head coil (Nova Medical). We collected spoiled gradient echo (SPGR) T1-weighted sagittal anatomical images (repetition time [TR] = 7.24 ms, echo time [TE] = 2.78 ms, flip angle = 12°, FOV = 232 × 232 mm, matrix = 256 × 256, voxel size = .90 mm³, scan time = 4:50) to be used for alignment and registration of functional images and for segmenting tissue types for facilitating resting-state fMRI preprocessing. Resting-state BOLD fMRI data were acquired using T2*-weighted oblique slices aligned to the anterior and posterior commissure (repetition time [TR] = 2.0 s, echo time [TE] = 30 ms, flip angle = 77°, 200 volumes, FOV = 232 × 232 mm, matrix = 80 × 80, voxel size = 2.9 mm³, total scan time = 6:40). During the resting-state scan, participants were instructed to “close your eyes and relax, but try not to fall asleep.” Physiological signal was collected via a photo-plethysmograph attached to the right hallux. Higher-order shims were applied prior to our resting-state scans, which has been shown to reduce geometric distortions (Kim et al., 2002). Structural and functional data were visually inspected to ensure data integrity was not compromised by ghosting, magnetic field inhomogeneities, and scanner spiking. Two MDD participants and five CTL participants did not have usable scan data (mostly related to scanner acquisition issues), and two MDD participants were excluded for having no signal recorded, as we were therefore unable to adequately account for physiological noise in the preprocessing pipeline. Thus, we report all results from a final sample of 64 participants (33 MDD and 31 CTL). See Supplementary Tables 1–3 for clinical and demographic characteristics of the final sample.

Data were preprocessed using conservative motion correction and regression of physiological noise based on tools from Freesurfer (Fischl et al., 2004), FSL (Smith et al., 2004), and AFNI (Cox, 1996) and according to well-validated protocols (Ordaz et al., 2017). See Supplemental Information for details on preprocessing.

2.4. Analytic plan

2.4.1. Demographic characteristics

We used two-tailed t-tests and χ² tests to test differences between the MDD and CTL groups with respect to age, severity of depressive and anxious symptoms reported on the BDI-II and BAI, and sex distribution. We also examined whether the two groups differed in ESM response rate (see Table 1).

2.4.2. ESM: emotional inflexibility

All ESM data preparation and analyses were conducted using R (version 1.1.383) (R Core Team, 2014) and hierarchical linear modeling (HLM 7) (Raudenbush et al., 2011). To prepare the ESM data for HLM modeling, we first created lagged (t – 1) variables in R using tidyverse packages (Wickham, 2016) for each ESM item. To calculate an overall emotional inflexibility, we estimated the average temporal strength in connection among the ten emotional experience ESM items (Bringmann et al., 2013; Pe et al., 2015). Based on methods by Pe et al. (2015), we used HLM to conduct multilevel analyses with each of the ten items at time t predicted by all items, including the target, at t – 1, where t – 1 and t are consecutive prompts. Multilevel modeling is well suited for a nested data structure (prompts nested within participants) and is also appropriate when accounting for missing data (Snijders and Bosker, 1999). By predicting each item with all ESM items using this time-lagged model, we can examine how well each emotional experience at the previous time point explains the current emotional experience. All Level 1 predictors were group-centered, in which predictors are centered around the group (in this case, participant) mean. We did not include a Level 2 variable because diagnostic group effects were planned to be estimated in R outside of HLM. Therefore, random intercepts and slopes at Level 2 across the whole sample come from each emotion regression model. For example:

\[
\text{Level-1 Model: } \text{Inflex}_{ij} = \beta_0 + \beta_1(\text{Inflex}_{i,j-1}) + \beta_2(\text{Interest}_{i,j-1}) + \ldots + \epsilon_{ij}
\]

Each slope indicates the strength of the temporal connection between the current emotion and each emotion at the previous time point, including the same emotion at the previous time point.

Second, using R, we extracted each participant’s slope for each item. We then averaged the absolute value of the slopes for each item being predicted to obtain a measure of inflexibility for each emotion, and then averaged these ten slopes to yield a measure of overall emotional inflexibility for each participant. We conducted independent-sample t-
tests comparing MDDs and CTLs on the inflexibility of each emotion and overall emotional inflexibility (i.e., the mean of the ten item-specific slopes).

2.4.3. Resting-state functional connectivity

To identify group differences in sgACC FC, we first defined bilateral seed regions with 3 mm radius (MNI RAI coordinates: x = +5, y = −25, z = −10; k = 19, 463 mm³) based on previous resting-state FC mappings of the sgACC (Margulies et al., 2007). See Figs. S1, S2 and Supplemental Information for visualization of bilateral sgACC. We performed a series of steps to constrain our whole-brain search. First, we conducted a regression analysis with the full sample (with AFNI’s 3dttest++). To identify a cluster-size threshold, we computed noise from our data (within a gray matter mask so as to eliminate spurious white matter signal) by applying 3dClustSim with the Autocorrelation Function (ACF) estimates from 3dfWHMx. 3dClustSim uses a FWE correction to control Type 1 error rate, which is the most updated threshold approach (Cox et al., 2017). We set a voxel-wise and clusterwise threshold at p = .01 and the probability of identifying a significant cluster at p = .05, which yielded a cluster-size threshold of 317 voxels. We used this p = .01 threshold instead of the more conservative p = .001 voxel-wise threshold to detect significant group differences in FC in order to examine relations between FC and temporal patterns of mood outside of the lab in depressed adults.

2.4.4. Association between functional connectivity and emotional inflexibility

Within each diagnostic group, we used linear regression modeling in R to add the Fisher’s z-transformed connectivity correlation coefficients from the group difference test as a predictor of the emotions of interest. Age (linear and quadratic terms), sex, and BAI were not significantly related to the emotion items that showed significant group differences in inflexibility or to sgACC connectivity; nevertheless, we followed formal model-fitting procedures in our linear regressions in order to test for the use of covariates of age, sex, and BAI. We began with a model with only the FC coefficient as our predictor of interest. We compared this model with each model that included the covariates noted above; however, including these covariates did not improve model fit. There were also no significant associations between medication use and the emotional items that showed significant group differences in inflexibility within the MDD group (dummy coded) or between medication use and FC within the MDD group.

3. Results

3.1. Demographic characteristics

As shown in Table 1, the MDD and CTL groups did not differ in age, sex composition, or number of missed ESM prompts; in fact, both groups had overall high response rates (~80%). As expected, the MDD participants obtained significantly higher scores than did the CTL participants on both the BDI-II (Beck et al., 1996) and the BAI (Beck et al., 1988). The mean BDI-II score of the MDD group was in the moderate to severe range, and the mean BDI-II score of the CTL group was well below the cutoff score of 9 for mild depression. Similarly, the mean BAI score of the MDD participants was in the moderate to severe range, and the mean BAI score of the CTLs was in the mild to moderate range.

3.2. ESM: emotional inflexibility

Consistent with prior literature and our hypothesis, the MDD and CTL groups differed in their inflexibility of emotions. MDDs had significantly stronger connections among their overall emotional experiences than did CTLs, t(62) = 2.52, p = .014, indicating that MDDs exhibited greater inflexibility in their overall emotional experience. Specifically, compared with CTLs, MDDs had stronger temporal connections among emotions predicting sadness (t(62) = 3.68, p < .001) and avoidance (t(62) = 4.29, p < .001). For depressed individuals, both low ratings of positive items and high ratings of negative items

Table 2

Emotions temporally connected to sadness and avoidance in MDDs and CTLs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Current emotion</th>
<th>Emotion at t − 1</th>
<th>Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MDD (N = 33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sadness</td>
<td></td>
<td>b = 0.13, SE = 0.04, p = .003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest</td>
<td></td>
<td>b = −0.08, SE = 0.03, p = .009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatigue</td>
<td></td>
<td>b = 0.00, SE = 0.03, p = .864</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-esteem</td>
<td></td>
<td>b = −0.01, SE = 0.04, p = .869</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difficulty in concentration</td>
<td></td>
<td>b = 0.03, SE = 0.03, p = .300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hope</td>
<td></td>
<td>b = −0.16, SE = 0.04, p = .001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety</td>
<td></td>
<td>b = 0.07, SE = 0.04, p = .667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worry</td>
<td></td>
<td>b = 0.03, SE = 0.03, p = .206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rumination</td>
<td></td>
<td>b = 0.01, SE = 0.04, p = .829</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avoidance</td>
<td></td>
<td>b = 0.06, SE = 0.02, p = .005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Table of slopes for lagged ESM items associated with current sadness and current avoidance. Slopes are from the full models for sadness and avoidance. Values in bold indicated significant association between Emotion at t − 1 and Current Emotion.

MDD = Major Depressive Disorder; CTL = nondepressed control.
were strongly associated with sadness, and high ratings of negative items were strongly associated with avoidance. However, for CTLs, only high ratings of negative items were associated with sadness, and only high ratings of previous avoidance were associated with current avoidance. See Table 2 for emotions related to inflexibility of sadness and avoidance for each group. The MDD and CTL groups did not differ significantly on any other specific emotion. See Table 3 (includes uncorrected and FDR corrected p values) and Fig. 1 for group comparisons of each emotion.

3.3. Resting-state functional connectivity

Seed-based FC analyses indicated weaker connectivity in MDDs than in CTLs between the right sgACC and a right cingulate cluster, encompassing rostral ACC (pregenual ACC subregion; pgACC) and dorsal ACC (dACC) regions (MNI peak RAi coordinates: $x = -4, y = -40, z = -2; k = 345, 8414 mm^3$) (see Fig. 2A and B). There were no MDD-associated differences in left sgACC FC that reached our defined voxelwise and cluster thresholds.

3.4. Association between functional connectivity and emotional inflexibility

Given significant group differences in the connections between previous emotions and current sadness and avoidance, we examined the association between right sgACC-right pg/dACC FC and inflexibility of sadness and avoidance. Linear regression analyses yielded a positive association between right sgACC-right pg/dACC FC with sadness inflexibility within both the MDD ($\beta = 0.35, t(31) = 2.08, p = .046$) and the CTL ($\beta = 0.38, t(29) = 2.24, p = .033$) groups (see Fig. 3). To rule out the possibility that sgACC-right pg/dACC FC was not also explained by group differences between overall levels of daily sadness, we covaried for mean levels of sadness within each group. sgACC FC explained

![Boxplot of Moment to Moment Temporal Strength of Emotion by Group](image)
inflexibility in sadness above and beyond mean levels of sadness within depressed individuals ($\beta = 0.35$, $t(30) = 2.09$, $p = .045$); however, the effect of sgACC FC on inflexibility of sadness diminished when covarying for mean level of sadness in CTLs ($\beta = 0.26$, $t(28) = 1.67$, $p = .106$). FC was not associated significantly with avoidance in inflexibility within either group.

While cross-validation (CV) studies with larger sample sizes and a range of psychiatric diagnoses are needed to replicate our finding that right sgACC–right pg/dACC FC is associated with inflexibility of sadness, we conducted CV and bootstrapping analyses within our sample. Specifically, we used a leave-one-out-cross-validation (LOOCV) procedure, which uses all participants except one ($n - 1$) as the training data, and the remaining sample (one data point) as the test data, repeated $n$ times. The goal is to yield an overall model prediction error, which is an average of the mean squared errors (MSE) for each iteration. We conducted LOOCV using the caret package in R (Kuhn, 2018, 2008) for each diagnostic group separately in order to yield estimates of variance explained, error estimates, and beta coefficients. These analyses indicated that sgACC FC alone explains inflexibility in sadness better in depressed individuals than in CTLs, and that adding mean sadness improves performance for CTLs, but not for depressed individuals (see Supplementary Table 4).

Other CV methods, such as split-half and k-fold CV, may be better for minimizing prediction errors; however, we chose to conduct LOOCV due to the relatively small sample size ($\sim 30$ in each group). Although LOOCV minimizes bias, it also increases the variance due to highly similar training samples. Thus, we also performed bootstrapping with 5000 replicates using the boot function in R (Canty and Ripley, 2017; Davison and Hinkley, 1997) to estimate bias corrected statistics. The bootstrapped estimates confirm that sgACC FC in the depressed sample better explains inflexibility of daily sadness than it does in the sample of nondepressed CTLs. Mean sadness explains inflexibility of sadness in nondepressed CTLs above and beyond sgACC FC, whereas sgACC FC explains more variance in inflexibility of sadness than mean sadness in MDDs (See Supplementary Table 5 and Fig. S3).

4. Discussion

Over the past several years, researchers have examined the maladaptive daily emotional functioning of depressed individuals. Investigators have now also begun to elucidate specific patterns of brain activation associated with this disorder. At this point, however, we

Fig. 2. (A) The right sgACC seed (RAI coordinates: $x = -5.0$, $y = -25$, $z = -10$; $k = 19$, 463 mm$^3$) and right cingulate cluster showing reduced connectivity in pregenual anterior cingulate cortex extending to the dorsal anterior cingulate cortex (RAI coordinates: $x = -4$, $y = -40$, $z = -2$; $k = 345$, 8414 mm$^3$) in MDDs compared to CTLs (voxel-wise $p = .01$). (B) A boxplot showing reduced sgACC connectivity in MDDs compared to CTLs.

Fig. 3. Increased sgACC connectivity associated with increased inflexibility of sadness. Significant association between R sgACC–R pg/dACC connectivity and inflexibility of sadness in MDDs and CTLs, $p < .05$. 

know little about the nature of the association between intrinsic FC and the daily emotional experiences of depressed adults. The present study was designed to address this gap in our knowledge by exploring patterns of resting-state connectivity that predict specific temporal patterns of the daily emotional functioning of depressed adults.

Consistent with previous work (Pe et al., 2015), we found that MDDs had a significantly greater inflexibility of their overall emotion experience than did CTLs, providing additional evidence of emotional inflexibility in this disorder. Specifically, compared with CTLs, MDDs had stronger temporal connections between current experiences of sadness and avoidance and immediately preceding emotions. In particular, sadness and avoidance appear to be perpetuated by both low positive and high negative emotions in only the group of depressed individuals; the MDD and CTL groups did not differ in the flexibility of any other specific emotion.

We examined whether these group differences in emotional inflexibility were associated with MDD-related differences in patterns of FC of the sgACC. We found that, compared with CTLs, MDDs had weaker connectivity between the right sgACC and a right cingulate cluster (with peak coordinates at the pgACC extending to the dACC region). FC between the sgACC and pregenual and dorsal portions of the ACC is consistent with an affective neural network involved in emotional processing (Bush et al., 2000; Schloesser et al., 2008). Importantly, other investigators examining neural connectivity in MDD have also reported different patterns of connectivity of the dACC in depressed compared to nondepressed individuals (Crowther et al., 2015; Ho et al., 2017). The dACC is a key structure within the salience network (SN) (Uddin, 2015), which is involved in emotional awareness and attention (Hamilton et al., 2012; Menon, 2011). In the context of the feedback-loop computational model proposed by Smith et al. (2018), it is possible that prior experiences of low positive emotions and high negative emotions lead to abnormal activity of the sgACC, which in turn facilitates further negative, self-referential processing. This negative self-bias may lead the dACC to guide individuals’ attention to negative information and perpetuate sadness. The coupling of negative self-bias and disengagement with one’s environment is consistent with findings of aberrant FC patterns of the SN in MDD (Kaiser et al., 2015). Our finding that FC between the right sgACC and right pg/dACC is positively associated with temporal connections of emotions predicting sadness in both MDDs and CTLS may reflect a broad brain mechanism that drives the inflexibility of sadness in both depressed and nondepressed individuals. Depressed individuals, however, experience a significantly more severe inflexibility of sadness than do their nondepressed counterparts, as indicated by the tight temporal connection with prior high ratings of negative emotions and low ratings of positive emotions.

Consistent with our finding of sgACC–pg/dACC FC, researchers have implicated connectivity between the sgACC and other emotional processing regions of the brain in depression; however, the directionality of connectivity has been inconsistent (Veer, 2010; Wang et al., 2012). In the present study we found weaker resting-state sgACC FC in MDDs than in CTLS. This finding is consistent with recent research showing reduced FC of the sgACC in MDDs compared to CTLS (Wu et al., 2016). Other investigators, however, have reported stronger sgACC connectivity in depressed than in nondepressed adults. For example, Zhou et al. (2010) found greater FC between sgACC and the posterior cingulate cortex and pregenual, and Greicius et al. (2007) reported greater sgACC FC to the rest of the default mode network, which is composed of co-activated regions involved in ruminative, negative self-referential processes. Most studies in this area have found greater sgACC FC in depressed than in nondepressed individuals; however, given the heterogeneity of depression, it is critical that we conduct further research in order to elucidate what factors are contributing to findings of depression-associated differences in FC.

It is also important to note that investigators have documented associations between increased sgACC connectivity and clinical characteristics of depression. For example, greater sgACC FC with the dorsomedial frontal cortex has been associated with depression severity (Davey et al., 2012). These studies (e.g., Davey et al., 2012; Zhou et al., 2010) documenting that stronger negative emotional experiences and depressive characteristics are associated with greater sgACC FC are consistent with our finding that greater sgACC FC is associated with stronger inflexibility of sadness. Importantly, however, the opposing directionality of reduced resting-state FC in MDDs is still unclear. Increased sgACC–pg/dACC FC could reflect a brain-based mechanism that underlies this specific temporal dynamic of sadness in depressed and nondepressed individuals. In attempting to understand why nondepressed individuals do not present with the same persistence of sadness as depressed individuals, it may be the case that other functional connections in brain are regulating the perpetuation of sadness in people who are not depressed. If a high level of sadness is maintained by sgACC connectivity, we may not see behavioral inflexibility in nondepressed individuals because they do not have a sufficiently high level of sadness to be perpetuated. In fact, we found that whereas FC was related to emotional inflexibility above and beyond mean levels of daily sadness in MDDs, this was not the case in CTLs, for whom mean levels of sadness were correlated with their emotional inflexibility. Although these findings are preliminary and require replication, they suggest that the strength of sgACC FC with other anterior and dorsal cingulate regions can explain depressed individuals’ resistance to emotional change. Given the heterogeneity of MDD, it is important that this preliminary evidence of an association between sgACC FC and persistence of sadness be examined in a larger sample of depressed individuals who have experienced a more chronic course of disorder in order to characterize more precisely the nature of the association between sgACC FC and inflexibility of sadness in MDD. It will also be important in future studies to relate finer-grained time courses of negative emotion in daily life to more temporally-sensitive approaches, such as magnetoencephalography or electroencephalography, in order to increase our knowledge of the neural mechanisms underlying specific time courses of emotion.

We should note two limitations of this study. First, it is likely that maladaptive behaviors not assessed in this study also affect the flexibility or maintenance of negative emotions. For example, although sleep problems are transdiagnostic, insomnia in particular is commonly documented in depression (Tuano et al., 2005). It would be beneficial to understand how poor sleep quality and other maladaptive behaviors affect the temporal dynamics of emotion, particularly in the context of MDD. Second, given the comparison between currently depressed individuals and never-depressed CTLS in this study, we cannot generalize our finding of the relation between greater sgACC FC and a higher inflexibility of sadness to individuals who have recovered from depression. Given the finding that remitted depressed individuals have greater fluctuations of negative emotions than do nondepressed CTLS (Servaes et al., 2017), it will be important to examine whether the same brain mechanism identified in this study as being associated with a more stable pattern of negative emotion is observable following recovery from MDD.

Despite these limitations, the present study is important in being the first to demonstrate the link between resting-state FC and temporal connections among emotions experienced in daily life in depressed adults. We were able to identify a possible neural pathway that appears to be related to inflexibility of sadness in depressed and nondepressed individuals, suggesting that this brain mechanism is broadly related to this temporal pattern in sadness. Future research should examine factors that exacerbate, or increase, the inflexibility of sadness in depressed individuals. In this context, it is important to extend this research by augmenting ESM with the collection of passive data (e.g., mobility, sleep) that are difficult to obtain in the lab; such approaches would increase our understanding of other factors that contribute to maladaptive functioning in MDD. It will be particularly useful if the depression-associated anomalies in FC documented in this study are found to track with symptom course and treatment responses in
individuals with MDD.
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